inorganic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Monoclinic $Cu_3(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$: an oxohalide with an unusual CuO_4Cl trigonal-bipyramidal coordination

Richard Becker,^a* Helmuth Berger^b and Mats Johnsson^a

^aInorganic Chemistry, Stockholm University, S-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden, and ^bInstitut de Physique de la Matière Complexe, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland Correspondence e-mail: richard@inorg.su.se

Received 30 October 2006 Accepted 23 November 2006 Online 23 December 2006

In single crystals of a new monoclinic (C2/m) form of tricopper(II) diselenium(IV) dichloride hexaoxide, Cu₃-(SeO₃)₂Cl₂, the Se atom is in the 4*i* position, while the two Cu atoms are in 2*a* and 4*i* positions. The structure is based on layers of CuO₄Cl trigonal bipyramids, CuO₄ square planes and SeO₃*E* tetrahedra. The Cu polyhedra are connected by edge-and corner-sharing to form [010] chains and these chains are bridged by the Se atoms to form (001) layers. The compound is isostructural with Cu₃(TeO₃)₂Br₂.

Comment

The use of stereochemically active lone pairs as spacers to open up structures is a concept which has proved very successful for synthesizing novel low-dimensional compounds (Johnsson *et al.*, 2000, 2003; Takagi *et al.*, 2006; Becker *et al.* 2006). Cations such as Te^{IV} or Se^{IV} receive an asymmetric coordination due to their stereochemically active lone pair and may then function as a chemical scissor.

This work describes a new layered monoclinic C2/m modification of Cu₃(SeO₃)₂Cl₂, (I), which was previously known in a triclinic form (Millet *et al.*, 2000). The structure of triclinic Cu₃(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ is three-dimensional with three crystallographically distinct Cu atoms in square-planar, octahedral and square-pyramidal coordinations. The structure is made up of layers of Cu polyhedra sandwiched by Se atoms, and the layers are connected *via* CuO₄ square planes. By contrast, monoclinic Cu₃(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ is isostructural with Cu₃(TeO₃)₂Br₂ (Becker *et al.*, 2005).

The Se atom in (I) shows a regular one-sided threefold coordination to one O2 and two O1 atoms. Its stereochemically active $4s^2$ lone pair (*E*) completes the tetrahedral SeO₃*E* coordination. The two crystallographically distinct Cu atoms have different coordinations (Fig. 1). Atom Cu1 has a square-planar coordination involving four O1 atoms, and two further Cl atoms complete a distorted octahedral coordination. Atom Cu2 has a highly unusual distorted trigonalbipyramidal CuO₄Cl coordination involving two apical O1 atoms and one Cl and two O2 equatorial atoms. To the best of our knowledge, (I) and Cu₃(TeO₃)₂Br₂ are the first compounds to show a trigonal-bipyramidal CuO₄X (X = Cl or Br) coor-



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of Cu₃(SeO₃)₂Cl₂, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) x, 1 - y, z; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, 2 - z; (iii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 2 - z; (iv) 1 - x, y, 2 - z; (v) 1 - x, -y, 2 - z; (vi) x, -1 + y, z; (vii) 1 - x, -1 + y, 2 - z; (viii) x, -y, z.]



Figure 2

Chains of Cu-centred polyhedra and SeO_3E tetrahedra. O atoms, Cl atoms and Se lone pairs (*E*) are represented by open, crossed and solid circles, respectively.

dination polyhedron for Cu^{II} . Bond-valence sum calculations (Brese & O'Keeffe, 1991) confirm the coordination and oxidation states of all ions. A low value of 0.6 v.u. is calculated for Cl if only the primary Cu–Cl bond is included (0.8 v.u. if longer interactions are included). This suggests that Cl⁻ acts more as a counter-ion than as part of the ionic/covalent bond network within the structure.

The structure of (I) is made up of pairs of $Cu2O_4Cl$ trigonal bipyramids sharing an $O2 \cdots O2$ equatorial edge. These pairs are connected by sharing O1 atoms with two $Cu1O_4$ square planes and form chains along the *b* axis (Fig. 1). The SeO₃*E* tetrahedra share an edge with the $Cu1O_4$ square plane and a corner with the $Cu2O_4Cl$ bipyramid, so that they bridge the chains into layers (Fig. 2). The layers are parallel to the *ab* plane and are separated from each other by the Cl atoms and the lone pairs of the Se atoms (Fig. 3). The absence of significant contacts between the layers implies that only van der Waals interactions connect the layers to each other in the structure. As the layers have no net charge, they can be considered as infinite two-dimensional molecules.

The structural difference between (I) and $Cu_3(TeO_3)_2Br_2$ is most obvious when looking at the Cu···Cu distances. Within a chain, the shortest distance $(Cu2 \cdot \cdot \cdot Cu2)$ is actually shorter in $Cu_3(TeO_3)_2Br_2$ than in (I) [3.15 (2) versus 3.363 (12) Å], and this is also reflected in the smaller Cu2-O2-Cu2 angle [96.09 (18) versus $101.72 (10)^{\circ}$]. These effects are probably due to the larger Br atom pushing the Cu2 atoms closer together. The shortest inter-chain Cu-.-Cu distance (Cu1···Cu2) is shorter in (I) [3.607 (18) versus 3.71 (2) Å] due to the smaller size of Se^{IV} compared with Te^{IV}, thus allowing the chains to come closer. The shortest inter-layer Cu-...Cu distance is substantially shorter in (I) [4.579 (16) versus 5.62 (3) Å], which again can be explained by the relatively smaller sizes of the Se and Cl atoms. The denser chain and layer packing in (I) can also be seen in the length of the a and c axes. The *a* axis, or the chain stacking direction, is reduced from 9.319 (2) to 8.933 (1) Å in (I), and the c axis, or the layer stacking direction, is reduced from 8.200 (2) to 7.582 (1) Å in (I).



Figure 3

The (001) layers in $Cu_3(SeO_3)_2Cl_2$, viewed along the *b* axis. Atoms and polyhedra are drawn as in Fig. 2.

An atom should be positioned no further away than to contribute at least 4% of the bond valence to be regarded as bonded (Brown, 2002). For Se^{IV} and Te^{IV}, this means a longest primary bonding distance to O of 2.5-2.7 Å. The possibility of forming isostructural Se and Te analogues is largely dependent upon the coordination of these atoms. Se^{IV} has only been observed in SeO₃ coordination with bond distances of around 1.7 Å, while Te^{IV} may be coordinated by three, four or even five ligands, with the nearest three ligands at approximately 1.9 Å and additional ligands at more than 2 Å (Zemann, 1971). This means that a TeO_3 coordination environment is required for the formation of isostructural Te and Se analogues. This is the case in Cu₃Bi(TeO₃)₂O₂Cl (Becker & Johnsson, 2005) and Cu₃Bi(SeO₃)₂O₂Cl (Pring et al., 1990), where the fourth Te-O and Se-O distances are both around 3 Å and thus clearly outside the primary coordination sphere. A different case is observed for $Ni_5(TeO_3)_4Cl_2$ (Johnsson *et al.*, 2003) and Ni₅(SeO₃)₄Cl₂ (Shen et al., 2005), for which the fourth Te–O distance (2.65 Å) can be regarded as a bond, whereas the fourth Se-O distance (2.94 Å) clearly lies outside the primary coordination sphere.

Experimental

Single crystals of Cu₃(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ were synthesized via a chemical vapour transport reaction in a sealed evacuated silica tube. CuO (Alfa Aesar, 99.7%), SeO₂ (Alfa Aesar, 99.4%) and CuCl₂ (Alfa Aesar, 99%) were used as starting materials. The crystals were grown from the off-stoichiometric CuO:SeO2:CuCl2 molar ratio of 1:1:1. The powders were placed at one end of a silica tube which was then evacuated to 10^{-5} Torr (1 Torr = 133.322 Pa). Electronic grade HCl gas was introduced into the tube before sealing it off. The ampoule was then heated in a two-zone furnace with charge and growth zone temperatures of 733 and 623 K, respectively. After six weeks, two different kinds of single crystals were observed in the ampoule, namely yellow-green Cu₃(SeO₃)₂Cl₂ platelets with a maximum size of $10 \times 6 \times 0.2$ mm in the centre of the ampoule, and thin brown airsensitive CuCl₂ needles with a maximum size of $20 \times 1 \times 0.1$ mm in the charge zone. The synthesis products were characterized using a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Jeol 820) with an energydispersive spectrometer (EDS, LINK AN10000), confirming the presence and stoichiometry of Cu, Se and Cl.

Crystal data	
$Cu_3(\text{SeO}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2$ $M_r = 515.44$ Monoclinic, $C2/m$ a = 8.9333 (12) Å b = 6.2164 (7) Å c = 7.5815 (12) Å	Z = 2 $D_x = 4.333 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 17.88 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 292 (3) K Thin plate, green
$\beta = 110.238 (13)^{\circ}$ V = 395.03 (10) Å ³	$0.30 \times 0.12 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å).

Se1-O2 ⁱ	1.663 (2)	Cu2-O2 ⁱⁱ	2.099 (2)
Se1-O1	1.7379 (17)	Cu2-Cl1	2.2229 (15)
Cu1-O1	1.9468 (17)	Cu2-O2	2.236 (3)
Cu2-O1	1.9321 (18)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 2$; (ii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 2.

Data collection

Oxford Xcalibur3 diffractometer ω scans at different φ Absorption correction: Gaussian [*CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2006) and *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2006)] $T_{\min} = 0.113, T_{\max} = 0.554$

Refinement

 Refinement on F^2 $(\Delta A, R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$ $\Delta \rho_a$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.076$ $\Delta \rho_a$

 S = 1.65 Ext

 628 reflections
 (39 parameters

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0353P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

2959 measured reflections 628 independent reflections 610 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.051$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.0^{\circ}$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 1.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.73 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction \ correction: \ SHELXL97} \\ ({\rm Sheldrick, \ 1997}) \\ {\rm Extinction \ coefficient: \ 0.0254 \ (18)} \end{array}$

The maximum residual electron density is located 0.58 Å from atom Cu2 and the minimum is located 0.72 Å from Cu2.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This work was carried out with financial support from the Swedish Research Council, the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) and MaNEP. Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BC3024). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Becker, R., Berger, H., Johnsson, M., Prester, M., Marohnic, Z., Miljak, M. & Herak, M. (2006). J. Solid State Chem. 179, 836–842.

- Becker, R. & Johnsson, M. (2005). Solid State Sci. 7, 375-380.
- Becker, R., Johnsson, M., Kremer, R. K. & Lemmens, P. (2005). J. Solid State
- Chem. 178, 2024–2029. Brandenburg, K. (2001). *DIAMOND*. Release 2.1e. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.

Brese, N. E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 192-197.

- Brown, I. D. (2002). The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry: The Bond Valence Model, p. 43. New York: Oxford University Press Inc.
- Johnsson, M., Törnroos, K. W., Lemmens, P. & Millet, P. (2003). Chem. Mater. 15, 68–73.
- Johnsson, M., Törnroos, K. W., Mila, F. & Millet, P. (2000). Chem. Mater. 12, 2853–2857.
- Millet, P., Bastide, B. & Johnsson, M. (2000). Solid State Commun. 113, 719– 723.
- Oxford Diffraction (2006). CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Versions 1.171.29.2. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.
- Pring, A., Gatehouse, B. M. & Birch, W. D. (1990). Am. Mineral. 75, 1421– 1425.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shen, Y.-L., Mao, J.-G. & Jiang, H.-L. (2005). J. Solid State Chem. 178, 2942– 2946.
- Takagi, R., Johnsson, M., Gnezdilov, V., Kremer, R. K., Brenig, W. & Lemmens, P. (2006). Phys. Rev. B, 74, 014413.
- Zemann, J. (1971). Monatsh. Chem. 102, 1209-1216.